

Therapeutic Anticoagulation with Heparin in Covid-19:

Final results of the multiplatform trial

BACKGROUND mpRCT of Therapeutic Anticoagulation in Covid-19

Patrick R. Lawler MD, MPH

Peter Munk Cardiac Centre University Health Network University of Toronto





Thrombosis and Organ Failure in Covid-19



Godoy et al. CMAJ 2020



Microthrombi in the interalveolar septa of a lung from a patient who died from Covid-19.

Ackermann et al. NEJM 2020

Possible Role for Heparin in Covid-19



Current practice/guidelines: Wide variability

<u>Heparin</u>:
(1)Antithrombotic
(2)Direct anti-viral (e.g., Clausen *Cell* 2020)
(3)Direct anti-inflammatory (e.g., ↓ IL6)

Variable treatment effect?

- Treatment effect may vary by stage (e.g., TNM)
- D-dimer may be predictive of treatment effect

Hypothesis

An initial strategy of therapeutic-dose anticoagulation with unfractionated or low-molecular-weight heparin improves survival to hospital discharge with reduced use of ICU-level organ support in hospitalized patients.

Hypothesis

An initial strategy of therapeutic-dose anticoagulation with unfractionated or low-molecular-weight heparin improves survival to hospital discharge with reduced use of ICU-level organ support in hospitalized patients.

A hypothesis shared by 3 platforms...



Multiplatform randomized controlled trial (mpRCT)



Goal:

- Accelerate evidence generation in a rapidly evolving pandemic
- Maximize external generalizability

Approach:

- Protocols of 3 adaptive RCTs evaluating therapeutic
 heparin in patients hospitalized for Covid-19 were
 integrated into a single prospective mpRCT
 - Detailed **alignment** of <u>eligibility criteria</u>, <u>interventions</u>, <u>outcome measures</u>, and <u>data</u> <u>collection</u>
 - Joint analysis plan for the mpRCT population.
 - Independent DSMBs oversaw the platforms using a coordinated oversight model
 - Central end point adjudication committee with consensus definitions.

Participants

- Patients hospitalized for Covid-19, stratified on the basis of illness severity at enrollment:
 - Severe disease (ICU-level care; critically ill)
 - Moderate disease (hospitalized; non-critically ill) severity states, further stratified on the basis of baseline D-dimer:
 - high D-dimer group (D-dimer ≥2 times local ULN)
 - low D-dimer group (D-dimer <2 times local ULN)
 - unknown D-dimer group

Participants

- <u>Severe disease</u> (ICU-level care) defined by use of respiratory or cardiovascular organ support (oxygen via high-flow nasal cannula, non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation, vasopressors, or inotropes) in an ICU
 - In ACTIV-4a, receipt of ICU-level organ support, irrespective of hospital setting, defined ICU-level care
- <u>Moderate disease</u> was defined as hospitalization for Covid-19 without requirement for ICU-level care
 - Participants admitted to an ICU but without receipt of such organ support were considered moderately ill

Participants

- Patients were ineligible for enrollment in the ATTACC and ACTIV-4a platforms after 72 hours following hospital admission for Covid-19 or in-hospital SARS-CoV-2 confirmation, and in the REMAP-CAP platform after 14 days following admission
- Patients were also excluded if discharge was expected within 72 hours, or if they had a clinical indication for therapeutic anticoagulation, high risk for bleeding, requirement for dual antiplatelet therapy, or known heparin allergy including HIT

Primary outcome

- Organ support-free days
 - An ordinal outcome composed of survival to hospital discharge and, among survivors, the number of days free of ICU-level organ support through day 21.
 - Patients dying during the index hospitalization through day 90 are assigned -1 (the worse possible outcome.
 - Patients surviving to hospital discharge without receipt of organ support are assigned 22 (the best possible outcome).

Primary outcome

- Organ support-free days
 - Reflects both utilization of critical care therapies and survival
 - Higher values indicating better outcomes
 - The outcome was selected to function across a spectrum of illness severity, and to minimize ascertainment bias

Secondary outcomes

- Components of the primary outcome
- Thrombotic events and death
 - Arterial thrombosis
 - Venous thrombosis
- ISTH major bleeding
- Heparin induced thrombocytopenia

Statistical Framework mpRCT of Therapeutic Anticoagulation in Covid-19

Lindsay Berry, PhD Berry Consultants Statistical Innovation



mpRCT: ACTIV-4a, ATTACC, REMAP-CAP



- Together create a "multi-platform randomized clinical trial"
- One overarching primary analysis model
 - Monthly adaptive analyses
 - Unified set of pre-specified adaptive rules for each patient group
- Patient groups:
 - Severe
 - Moderate, high D-dimer
 - Moderate, low D-dimer
 - Moderate, unknown D-dimer

Bayesian primary analysis model

- Primary Endpoint: Organ support-free days (OSFD)
 - Ordinal outcome; ranging from -1 (worst) to 22 (best)
- Modeled with cumulative logistic model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_y}{1-\pi_y}\right) = [\text{State}]_y + [\text{Covariates}] + [\text{TAC}]_{\text{state}}, D-\text{dimer}$$

- Estimate posterior distribution of unknown model parameters
 - Synthesizes prior information + observed data
 - Neutral priors used for intercepts and covariate effects

Bayesian primary analysis model

- Primary Endpoint: Organ support-free days (OSFD)
 - Ordinal outcome; ranging from -1 (worst) to 22 (best)
- Modeled with cumulative logistic model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_y}{1-\pi_y}\right) = [\text{State}]_y + [\text{Covariates}] + [\text{TAC}]_{\text{state}}, D-\text{dimer}$$

- Covariates:
 - Site, age, sex, D-dimer group, and time period of enrollment
 - Important to explain variability in OSFD outcomes in evolving pandemic environment

Bayesian primary analysis model

- Primary Endpoint: Organ support-free days (OSFD)
 - Ordinal outcome; ranging from -1 (worst) to 22 (best)
- Modeled with cumulative logistic model

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_y}{1-\pi_y}\right) = [\text{State}]_y + [\text{Covariates}] + [\text{TAC}]_{\text{state};\text{D}-\text{dimer}}$$

- Treatment effect estimated for each patient group
 - Odds ratio >1 implies benefit = increased survival and days free of organ support
 - Bayesian hierarchical prior dynamically borrows information between groups
- Secondary model estimates "all moderate" effect

How does dynamic borrowing work?

A) Variability in treatment effect

B) Consistent treatment effect

Group 1

Group 2

Group 3

Pooled



How does dynamic borrowing work?



Group 1 Group 2 Group 3 Pooled

How does dynamic borrowing work?



Group 2 Group 3

Group 1

Pre-specified adaptations

- Adaptations driven by Bayesian posterior probabilities
- At each adaptive analysis, the following are evaluated **by patient group**:
 - Superiority: > 99% posterior probability odds ratio >1
 - Futility: > 95% posterior probability odds ratio <1.2</p>
 - Response adaptive randomization (RAR) based on the probability TAC is effective (ATTACC/REMAP-CAP only)
- Continue each patient group until a conclusion of superiority/futility is reached
 - No pre-specified conclusions/RAR for moderate patients with unknown D-dimer, but contribute to model estimates of covariates and dynamic borrowing

mpRCT designed to accelerate evidence generation

- Harmonization of analysis plan and data sharing between three large COVID-19 platform trials
- Treatment effect estimated in pre-specified patient groups
 - Dynamic borrowing most efficient use of information with possibility of treatment effect heterogeneity
- Bayesian framework driving adaptations, providing interpretable results in light of many uncertainties of pandemic

RESULTS mpRCT of Therapeutic Anticoagulation in Covid-19

Ewan C. Goligher MD, PhD

University Health Network University of Toronto





Nov.Dec.Jan.Feb.Moderate Unknown D-dimerIIIModerate Low D-dimerIIIModerate High D-dimerIIISevereIII

Nov.Dec.Jan.Feb.Moderate Unknown D-dimerIIIModerate Low D-dimerIIIModerate High D-dimerIIISevereIII

Response-adaptive randomization applied

Nov.
Dec.
Jan.
Feb.

Moderate Unknown D-dimer
I
I
I

Moderate Low D-dimer
I
I
I

Moderate High D-dimer
I
I
I

Severe
I
I
I

Futility conclusion Response-adaptive randomization applied

Nov.
Dec.
Jan.
Feb.

Moderate Unknown D-dimer
Image: Comparison of the second secon

Futility conclusion Response-adaptive randomization applied



Futility conclusion Response-adaptive randomization applied Superiority conclusion

mpRCT Recruitment

Group	Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation (n with primary endpoint)	Usual-care thromboprophylaxis (n with primary endpoint)
Severe Covid-19	534	564
Moderate Covid-19 (overall)	1171	1048
D-dimer ≥2x ULN	339	291
D-dimer <2x ULN	570	505
D-dimer unknown	262	252

mpRCT Populations

Group	Moderate Covid-19 (n=2231)	Severe Covid-19 (n=1103)
Age (mean)	59 years	61 years
Sex (% male)	59%	70%
Country of enrolment		
UK	9%	71%
USA	48%	16%
Canada	8%	9%
Brazil	20%	2%
Other	15%	2%
Platform of enrolment		
ATTACC	52%	4%
ACTIV-4a	35%	12%
REMAP-CAP	13%	84%

mpRCT Populations

Group	Moderate Covid-19 (n=2231)	Severe Covid-19 (n=1103)
Respiratory support		
None/low flow oxygen/unspecified	96%	1%
High flow oxygen	2%	33%
Non-invasive ventilation	2%	38%
Invasive ventilation	0%	28%
Co-interventions at baseline		
Antiplatelet agent	12%	8%
Remdesivir	36%	31%
Glucocorticoid	62%	82%
Tocilizumab	0.5%	2%

Primary Endpoint: Organ Support-Free Days



Adjusted OR 0.83 (95% Crl 0.67-1.03) **Futility**: Prob(OR<1.2) = 99.9% **Inferiority**: Prob(OR<1) = 95.0%

Primary Endpoint: Organ Support-Free Days



Adjusted OR 0.83 (95% CrI 0.67-1.03) **Futility**: Prob(OR<1.2) = 99.9% **Inferiority**: Prob(OR<1) = 95.0%

Adjusted OR 1.27 (95% Crl 1.03-1.58) **Superiority**: Prob(OR>1) = 98.6% 4% adjusted difference in risk of requiring organ support or dying (20% vs. 24%)

Primary Endpoint by D-dimer in <u>Moderate</u> Covid-19

Table 2. Primary Outcome of Organ Support–Free Days.*						
Variable	Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation	Usual-Care Thrombo- prophylaxis	Adjusted Difference in Risk (95% Credible Interval)†	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% Credible Interval)‡	Probability of Superiority of Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation	
	no. of patients/total no. (%) percentage		percentage points		%	
Patients with moderate disease						
Overall group§	939/1171 (80.2)	801/1048 (76.4)	4.0 (0.5 to 7.2)	1.27 (1.03–1.58)	98.6	
D-dimer cohort¶						
High level	264/339 (77.9)	210/291 (72.2)	5.1 (0.0 to 9.9)	1.31 (1.00–1.76)	97.3	
Low level	463/570 (81.2)	403/505 (79.8)	3.0 (-1.2 to 6.3)	1.22 (0.93–1.57)	92.9	
Unknown level	212/262 (80.9)	188/252 (74.6)	4.9 (0.00 to 9.9)	1.32 (1.00–1.86)	97.3	

Secondary Endpoints: <u>Severe</u> Covid-19

Table 2. Primary and Secondary Outcomes.								
Outcome	Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation (N = 536)	Usual-Care Thromboprophylaxis (N=567)	Adjusted Difference in Risk (95% Credible Interval)	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% Credible Interval)*	Probability of Superiority	Probability of Futility	Probability of Inferiority	
	median	no. (IQR)	percentage points		%	%	%	
Organ support-free days up to day 21†‡	1 (-1 to 16)	4 (-1 to 16)	_	0.83 (0.67 to 1.03)	5.0	99.9	95.0	
	no. of patients/total no. (%)							
Survival to hospital discharge‡	335/534 (62.7)	364/564 (64.5)	-4.1 (-10.7 to 2.4)	0.84 (0.64 to 1.11)	10.8	99.6	89.2	
Major thrombotic events or death§	213/531 (40.1)	230/560 (41.1)	1.0 (-5.6 to 7.4)	1.04 (0.79 to 1.35)	40.3	_	59.7	
Major thrombotic events¶	34/530 (6.4)	58/559 (10.4)	—	—	—	—	—	
Death in hospital	199/534 (37.3)	200/564 (35.5)	—		_	_	—	
Any thrombotic events or death§	217/531 (40.9)	232/560 (41.4)	1.5 (-4.9 to 8.0)	1.06 (0.81 to 1.38)	33.4	-	66.6	
Any thrombotic events	38/530 (7.2)	62/559 (11.1)	_	_	_		—	
Death in hospital	199/534 (37.3)	200/564 (35.5)	—		_	_	—	
Major bleeding§	20/529 (3.8)	13/562 (2.3)	1.1 (-0.6 to 4.4)	1.48 (0.75 to 3.04)	12.8	-	87.2	

Secondary Endpoints: Moderate Covid-19

Table 3. Secondary Outcomes among All Patients with Moderate Disease.*					
Outcome	Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation	Usual-Care Thromboprophylaxis	Adjusted Difference in Risk (95% Credible Interval)†	Adjusted Odds Ratio (95% Credible Interval) <u>;</u>	Probability of Effect of Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation
	no. of patient	cs/total no. (%)	percentage points		%
Survival until hospital dis- charge	1085/1171 (92.7)	962/1048 (91.8)	1.3 (-1.1 to 3.2)	1.21 (0.87 to 1.68)∬	87.1¶
Survival without organ support at 28 days∥	932/1175 (79.3)	789/1046 (75.4)	4.5 (0.9 to 7.7)	1.30 (1.05 to 1.61)	99.1¶
Progression to intubation or death**	129/1181 (10.9)	127/1050 (12.1)	-1.9 (-4.1 to 0.7)	0.82 (0.63 to 1.07)	92.2¶
Major thrombotic event or death	94/1180 (8.0)	104/1046 (9.9)	–2.6 (–4.4 to –0.2)	0.72 (0.53 to 0.98)	98.0¶
Major thrombotic event	13/1180 (1.1)	22/1046 (2.1)			
Death in hospital	86/1180 (7.3)	86/1046 (8.2)			
Major bleeding	22/1180 (1.9)	9/1047 (0.9)	0.7 (-0.1 to 2.3)	1.80 (0.90 to 3.74)	95.5††

Summary: Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation in Covid-19

- Critically ill patients with Covid-19 (on ICU organ support)
 High probability of <u>harm</u> on organ support-free days and survival (95%)
- Non-critically ill patients with Covid-19 (not on ICU organ support)

 High probability of <u>benefit</u>
 - \uparrow organ support-free days to day 21 (98.6%)
 - \downarrow progression to intubation or death (92.2%)
 - \downarrow major thrombotic event or death (98.0%)
 - Low rate of major bleeding (~1% absolute risk increase)

Reply & Recap mpRCT of Therapeutic Anticoagulation in Covid-19

Ryan Zarychanski MD, MSc

University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada CancerCare Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada







What goes down relatively easy

- The Summary
 - High probability of <u>harm</u> in critically ill patients (95%)
 - High probability of **benefit** in non critically patients (99%)
 - $-\uparrow$ organ support-free days to day 21 (98.6%)
 - $-\downarrow$ progression to intubation or death (92.2%)
 - $-\downarrow$ major thrombotic event or death (98.0%)
 - -Low rate of major bleeding (~1% absolute risk increase)

What may require time to digest, but are strengths

• Bayesian framework

• Organ-support free days

• Responsive-adaptive randomization

• Heterogeneity of treatment effects

What could be cause for confusion?

• The mpRCT did not employ the use of non-concurrent controls

- Fitting in the result of the mpRCT into the current literature:
 - Results appear to be consistent with:
 - INSPIRATION (critically ill patients) augmented anticoagulation with heparin was of no benefit
 - RAPID-COAG (non-critically ill patients) therapeutic dose anticoagulation with heparin improved survival and reduced the need for ICU-level organ support

What we hope will be enduring contributions of the mpRCT

- Utility of adaptive platform trials
 - Re-affirming our ability to both learn and do in the face of multiple unknowns

- Model of global collaboration
 - Autonomous platforms contributing within a single trial
 - Data federation
 - DSMB harmonization
 - Inclusive authorship

Practice Implications: Therapeutic-Dose Anticoagulation in Covid-19

- Critically ill patients with Covid-19 (on ICU organ support)
 High probability of <u>harm</u> on organ support-free days and survival (95%)
- Non-critically ill patients with Covid-19 (not on ICU organ support)
 High probability of <u>benefit</u>
 - \uparrow organ support-free days to day 21 (98.6%)
 - \downarrow progression to intubation or death (92.2%)
 - \downarrow major thrombotic event or death (98.0%)
 - Low rate of major bleeding (~1% absolute risk increase)



Australian Government







NIHR National Institute for Health Research





National Institutes of Health

